DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.7405

International Journal of Pure & Applied

**Bioscience** 

**ISSN: 2320 – 7051** *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **7 (2):** 396-402 (2019)

Research Article



# Impact of Different Seed Treatments on Quality Parameters of Cotton (Gossypium Spp.)

#### Ashok Kumar, Mohinder Singh<sup>\*</sup> and O. S. Dahiya

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SGT University-Gurugram-122505 \*Corresponding Author E-mail: mohinder27481@gmail.com Received: 3.03.2019 | Revised: 12.04.2019 | Accepted: 20.04.2019

#### ABSTRACT

The present work was done in the department of seed science and technology, CCS Haryana Agricultral University, Hisar aiming at to study the presowing treatments for improving seed quality in cotton varieties viz. RG-8, HD-123 (Gossypium arboreum), HS-6, H1098 (Gossypium hirsutum).On the basis of germination percentage each variety was divided into two lots ie. Lot A (Good),SG above 65% and Lot B (Marginal),S.G. between 50-60%. The seeds of both lots of cultivar were treated before sowing with GA<sub>3</sub>(100ppm), Ascorbic acid (100 ppm), NaCl (100 mM), KNO<sub>3</sub> (10 mM) and H<sub>2</sub>O + thiram @ 0.25% for 6 hours and the untreated lot act as control. The observations recorded in the laboratory were viz., standard germination (%), radicle length (cm), plumule length (cm), vigour index-I, vigour index-II, electrical conductivity (uSimon/cm/seed) and accelerated ageing (%). While speed of emergence and seedling establishment (%) were recorded in the field.

Key words: Gossypium arboretum, Germination, Desi cotton and Americian cotton

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Seed plays an important role in agricultural production, as quality seed is the basic input for enhancing productivity and production. Cotton (Gossypium spp.) is one of the most cultivated extensively commercial crops of the world. It is also known as white gold. In India cotton almost accounts for 73% of fiber consumption. India has the largest producer of cotton cultivation in the world estimated around 6.21 million metric tons<sup>1</sup>. India cotton production was 377 lakh bales of 170 kg from 122 lakh hectares with a productivity of 524 kg lint/ha<sup>2</sup>. The cotton productivity of Haryana is 2638 kg/ha<sup>3</sup>.

Economically seed deterioration is a major problem in agricultural production<sup>12</sup>.

Cite this article: Kumar, A., Singh, M. and Dahiya, O.S., Impact of Different Seed Treatments on Quality Parameters of Cotton. (*Gossypium Spp.*), *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **7(2)**: 396-402 (2019). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.7405

#### Kumar *et al*

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

However, the loss of vigour and viability could be minimized by various presoaking seed treatments<sup>5</sup>. Physiological changes which occur delayed are germination, reduced seedling growth rate. decreased to adverse germination tolerance conditions and loss of germinability<sup>4</sup> membrane deterioration, low oxygen uptake and high CO<sub>2</sub> output. Seed treatments have been found effective in delaying and decreasing ageing damage<sup>8,7</sup>. Pre-sowing seed treatments with water, plant growth regulators, agro-chemicals, fungicides etc also have been reported to increase seed quality in several crop species.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The factorial experiment in completely randomized block design (CRD) as well as in randomized block design (RBD) has been conducted for laboratory and field parameters respectively. The angular transformation was applied to the percent data and the transformed data

subjected was to the statistical analysis on the basis of the model described by Panse and Sukhatme<sup>13</sup>. The seed material for the present investigation consists of four varieties of cotton (Gossypium spp.), each grouped into two categories Desi cotton and Americian cotton on the basis of germination. Six treatments viz  $T_0$  = Untreated (control),  $T_1$  = GA<sub>3</sub> (100 ppm for 6 hr),  $T_2 = Ascorbic$ acid (100ppm for 6 hr),  $T_3 = NaCl$  $(100 \text{ mM for } 6 \text{ hr}), T_4 = \text{KNO}_3 (10)$ mM for 6 hr),  $T_5 =$  Hydration (6 hr) + Drv dressing with thiram **(***a*)

0.25% were taken for study. After each treatment, seeds were dried back to original moisture content. The observation on cotton includes Standard germination (%), Radicle length (cm), Plumule length (cm), Dry weight (mg), Vigour index-I, Vigour index-II, Electrical conductivity test (µs/cm/seed).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1:	Means Values of different viability & vigour parameters of cotton seed lots with different pre-
	sowing treatments
	LOT A (SG% above 65)

Sr. No.	Variety	Treatments		Character								
			SG(%)	RL(cm)	PL(cm)	DW(mg)	VI-I	VI-II	EC(µs)	AA(%)	SOE	SET(%)
1	RG-8	Control	(62.00)78	21.20	12.60	22.00	2636.40	1716.00	0.32	64(53.11)	4.77	(44.98)50
2	RG-8	GA <sub>3</sub>	(53.70)65	9.90	13.40	15.00	1514.50	975.00	0.46	56(48.42)	1.35	(20.24)12
3	RG-8	Asc.acid	(60.64)76	13.30	12.00	13.50	1973.40	1053.00	0.32	64(53.11)	2.26	(31.29)27
4	RG-8	NaCl	(64.13)81	18.30	13.70	30.00	2470.50	2430.00	0.20	80(63.42)	4.79	(45.55)51
5	RG-8	KNO3	(64.89)82	15.10	12.90	17.00	2296.00	1804.00	0.19	80(63.42)	5.44	(46.12)52
6	RG-8	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(64.89)82	19.60	12.60	9.50	2640.40	1394.00	0.20	80(63.42)	4.88	(45.55)51
7	HS-6	Control	(55.53)68	17.30	17.20	37.00	2346.00	1734.00	0.46	66(54.31)	2.70	(33.19)30
8	HS-6	GA <sub>3</sub>	(54.92)67	12.90	16.50	22.00	1976.50	1474.00	0.67	58(49.58)	2.11	(31.29)27
9	HS-6	Asc.acid	(55.52)68	12.70	12.30	21.50	1725.00	1483.50	0.53	60(50.75	2.69	(28.47)23
10	HS-6	NaCl	(56.77)70	16.80	17.60	22.50	2408.00	1575.00	0.35	67(54.92)	3.17	(33.19)30
11	HS-6	KNO3	(60.65)76	21.00	17.00	27.50	2888.00	2014.00	0.31	64(53.11)	3.85	(37.44)37
12	HS-6	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(58.03)72	23.00	19.80	27.50	3081.60	1980.00	0.35	62(51.92)	3.65	(36.85)36
13	H-1098	Control	(54.31)66	17.30	17.80	30.00	2052.60	1980.00	0.54	60(50.75)	2.35	(31.29)27
14	H-1098	GA <sub>3</sub>	(51.33)61	11.80	17.10	30.00	1787.30	1830.00	0.83	52(46.12)	2.03	(27.26)21
15	H-1098	Asc.acid	(53.11)64	13.20	18.80	32.00	2048.00	2048.00	0.72	58(49.58)	2.89	(29.98)25
16	H-1098	NaCl	(55.53)68	18.30	17.00	20.50	1870.00	1394.00	0.50	62(51.92)	3.16	(34.43)32
17	H-1098	KNO3	(59.33)74	21.40	17.70	30.00	2893.40	2220.00	0.45	64(53.11)	3.51	(36.25)35
18	H-1098	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(56.77)70	18.60	15.50	23.00	2386.00	1615.00	0.49	62(51.92)	3.24	(35.04)33
19	HD-123	Control	(56.77)70	16.40	13.10	15.00	2065.00	1050.00	0.30	60(50.75)	3.36	(42.11)45
20	HD-123	GA <sub>3</sub>	(53.11)64	8.40	11.90	16.50	1299.20	1056.00	0.35	50(44.98)	0.91	(17.43)9
21	HD-123	Asc.acid	(55.59)68	16.40	14.10	8.00	2074.00	544.00	0.33	57(49.00)	2.15	(27.95)22
22	HD-123	NaCl	(59.36)74	19.80	13.40	21.50	2456.80	1591.00	0.26	65(53.71)	3.39	(42.11)45
23	HD-123	KNO3	(59.36)74	12.10	12.60	18.50	1827.80	1369.00	0.26	67(54.92)	3.79	(43.26)47
24	HD-123	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(59.36)74	16.90	10.80	15.00	2048.80	1110.00	0.26	65(53.71)	3.72	(42.11)45
CD(	P=0.01)											
H	For V X L X T	Interaction	2 470	0.490	0.500	2.960	1 600	22 150	0.004	1 920	0.068	2 270

Kumar *et al* 

*Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **7** (2): 396-402 (2019) **Table 1: (Contd...) LOT B (SG% between 50-60)**  ISSN: 2320 - 7051

S No.	Variety	Treatments		Character									
			SG(%)	RL(cm)	PL(cm)	DW(mg)	VI-I	VI-II	EC(µs)	AA(%)	SOE	SET(%)	
1	RG-8	Control	(49.58)58	14.50	11.20	11.50	1490.60	667.00	0.42	(47.24)54	2.15	25(29.98)	
2	RG-8	GA <sub>3</sub>	(49.00)57	18.60	0.15	9.00	1476.00	540.00	0.41	(48.42)56	1.46	15(22.77)	
3	RG-8	Asc.acid	(49.58)58	16.20	12.20	1.00	1738.50	61.00	0.40	(49.58)58	2.10	21(27.26)	
4	RG-8	NaCl	(56.77)70	19.30	11.90	11.50	2188.80	800.00	0.37	(53.11)64	3.14	31(33.81)	
5	RG-8	KNO <sub>3</sub>	(64.89)82	19.40	14.60	12.00	1464.00	1625.00	0.39	(48.42)56	3.34	34(35.64)	
6	RG-8	H20+THI.	(55.52)68	13.50	11.70	25.50	1587.60	756.00	0.41	(50.75)60	2.64	32(34.25)	
7	HS-6	Control	(48.42)56	12.40	13.80	16.00	1467.20	896.00	0.61	(42.68)46	1.78	19(25.82)	
8	HS-6	GA <sub>3</sub>	(39.20)40	11.00	14.30	13.00	1012.00	520.00	0.81	(33.19)30	0.73	7(15.31)	
9	HS-6	Asc.acid	(47.28)54	18.40	12.40	26.00	1663.00	1404.00	0.72	(38.03)38	1.19	18(25.08)	
10	HS-6	NaCl	(48.43)56	15.40	17.20	24.00	1825.60	1344.00	0.55	(41.53)44	1.84	22(27.94)	
11	HS-6	KNO <sub>3</sub>	(49.58)58	14.80	15.20	18.00	1740.00	1044.00	0.50	(43.83)48	2.65	26(30.64)	
12	HS-6	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(48.42)56	13.00	14.20	24.50	1523.20	1372.00	0.54	(40.37)42	2.09	20(26.55)	
13	H-1098	Control	(47.85)55	12.10	13.80	22.50	1380.50	1237.50	0.68	(45.55)51	1.47	18(25.08)	
14	H-1098	GA <sub>3</sub>	(45.55)51	12.80	16.50	24.00	1494.30	1224.00	0.96	(49.27)42	1.15	16(23.54)	
15	H-1098	Asc.acid	(46.70)53	14.60	16.20	23.00	1632.40	1219.00	0.75	(44.98)50	1.09	14(21.95)	
16	H-1098	NaCl	(48.42)56	11.40	12.90	18.00	1360.00	1008.00	0.53	(47.27)54	1.59	19(25.81)	
17	H-1098	KNO <sub>3</sub>	(49.58)58	14.60	18.00	25.00	1890.80	1450.00	0.49	(47.27)54	3.56	41(39.79)	
18	H-1098	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(48.42)56	15.80	15.90	26.00	1775.00	1456.00	0.52	(46.12)52	3.09	32(34.43)	
19	HD-123	Control	(49.58)58	16.40	12.70	12.50	1701.20	725.00	0.43	(47.85)55	2.33	26(30.64)	
20	HD-123	GA <sub>3</sub>	(46.12)52	8.40	13.60	16.50	1289.60	858.00	0.64	(43.83)48	1.83	15(22.77)	
21	HD-123	Asc.acid	(47.28)54	16.40	13.70	4.50	1728.00	243.00	0.53	(46.12)52	2.12	23(28.64)	
22	HD-123	NaCl	(53.12)64	19.80	14.10	18.00	1689.60	1152.00	0.32	(48.42)56	3.05	39(38.62)	
23	HD-123	KNO <sub>3</sub>	(51.53)62	12.70	11.40	21.50	1686.00	1290.00	0.33	(47.27)54	4.19	48(43.83)	
24	HD-123	H <sub>2</sub> 0+THI.	(50.75)60	18.00	13.80	18.50	1902.40	1073.00	0.37	(47.27)54	2.75	30(33.19)	
CD(	P=0.01)												
For	VXLXT	Interaction	2.470	0.490	0.500	2.960	1.600	22.150	0.004	1.920	0.068	2.270	

Concentration of chemicals: GA<sub>3</sub>=Gibberlic acid (100ppm); Asc. Acid= (!00ppm); NaCl=Sodium Chloride= (100mM); KNO<sub>3</sub>= Potassium Nitrate(10mM); H<sub>2</sub>O+THI= Water+Thiram @0.25%

Values in paranthesis are transformed data

## DRY WEIGHT (mg.)

The range of dry matter accumulation varied from 1.00 to 37.00 mg. of seedling (Table 1). Lot B showed improvement in dry matter accumulation. Whereas it was decreased in Lot A (Table 2D). HD-123 was most responsive to the best treatment. Highest increase in dry matter accumulation was observed in  $KNO_3$  treated HD-123 seeds (Table 2D).  $KNO_3$  and  $H_2O + Thiram$  showed best results (at par). While NaCl, ascorbic acid and GA<sub>3</sub> showed -ve effects (Table 2C).

		Lot		•
Variety	A—		В	Mean
RG-8	17.83	3	11.75	14.79
HS-6	26.33	3	20.25	23.29
H-1098	27.58	3	23.08	25.33
HD-123	15.75	5	15.25	15.50
Mean	21.87	7	17.58	
CD (P=0.01)	Variety=0.855	Lot=0.605	Variety X Lot=1.209	

Table: 2A. Two way mean table between variety vs. lot of cotton

Kumar <i>et al</i>		Int. J. Pur	e App. Biosci	. <b>7 (2):</b> 396-4	02 (2019)	ISS	N: 2320 - 7	7051
	Table: 2B.	Two way m	ean table bet	ween variety	vs. treatme	nt of cotton		
			Treatment				Mean	
Variety	Control	GA <sub>3</sub>	A.A	NaCl	KNO <sub>3</sub>	$H_2O + Th$		
RG-8	16.75	12.00	7.25	20.75	14.50	17.50	14.79	
HS-6	26.50	17.50	23.75	23.25	22.75	26.00	23.29	
H-1098	26.25	27.00	27.50	19.25	27.50	24.50	25.33	
HD-123	13.75	16.50	6.25	19.75	20.00	16.75	15.50	
Mean	20.81	18.25	16.18	20.75	21.18	21.18		
CD (P=0.01) V	/ariety=0.855	Treatment=1	.047 Variety	X Treatmen	t=2.094			

	Table:	2C. Two way	mean table l	etween lot v	s. treatment	of cotton					
Lot	Treatment										
LOI	Control	GA <sub>3</sub>	A.A	NaCl	KNO <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O+Th	Wiean				
A	26.00	20.87	18.75	23.62	23.25	18.75	21.87				
В	15.62	15.62	13.62	17.87	19.12	23.62	17.58				
Mean	20.81	18.25	16.18	20.75	21.18	21.18					
CD (P=0.01)	Lot=0.605	Treatment=1.0	147 Lot X T	reatment=1.4	-81						

See Abbreviations from Table 1.

The increase in dry matter of Lot B was more as compared to Lot A.  $KNO_3$  and  $H_2O + Thiram$  increased dry matter accumulation significantly as compared to others. HD-123 showed maximum improvement in dry The increase in dry matter weight. accumulation may be attributed to the fact that sodium and potassium are involved in maintaining the tone, vigour and efficiency of plant. Hence sodium and potassium may be playing a critical role in enhancing the physiological efficiency of plant parts rather than the plant morphology as observed in potato<sup>10</sup>. Similar findings

were reported in  $KNO_3$ , NaCl treated seeds of spring wheat<sup>14</sup> and cotton.

### Table: 3VigourIndex

The range of vigour index calculated germination standard by (%) multiplied by the dry weight (mg) varied from 61.00 to 2430.00 (Table 1). Lot B showed higher improvement as compared to Lot A (Table 3D). HS-6 was most responsive to the best treatments. Highest improvement in indices was observed in KNO<sub>3</sub> treated RG-8 seeds (Table 3D). KNO<sub>3</sub> showed best results followed by NaCl and then  $H_2O$  + Thiram.  $GA_3$  and ascorbic acid showed adverse effect (Table 3C).

	Lot		
Variety	A	В	Mean
RG-8	2255.20	1647.58	1951.39
HS-6	2404.18	1538.53	1971.35
H-1098	2172.88	1588.96	1880.92
HD-123	1961.93	1666.13	1814.03
Mean	2198.55	1610.30	
CD (P=0.01)	Variety=0.463 Lot=0.327 V	/ariety X Lot=0.655	

Table: 3A. Two way mean table between variety vs. lot of cotton

Kumar	et al		Int. J. Pure	e App. Biosci.	ISS	ISSN: 2320 – 7051					
	Table: 3B. Two way mean table between variety vs. treatment of cotton										
	Treatment										
Variety	Control		$GA_3$	A.A	NaCl	KNO <sub>3</sub>	$H_2O + Th$				
RG-8	2063.50	1465.25	1855.95	2329.65	1880.00	2114.00	1951.39				
HS-6	1906.60	1494.25	1694.10	2116.80	2314.00	2302.40	1971.35				
H-1098	1716.55	1640.80	1840.20	1615.40	2392.10	2080.50	1880.92				
HD-123	3 1883.10	1294.40	1901.00	2073.20	1756.90	1975.60	1814.03				
Mean	1892.43	1473.67	1822.81	2033.76	2085.75	2118.12					
CD(P=	0.01) Vari	etv=0.463	Treatment=0.4	567 Variety	X Treatment=	=1.134					

#### Contd.

T . 4			Treatment				Maria
Control	GA <sub>3</sub>	A.A	NaCl	KNO <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O+Th		Mean
A 2275.0	0 1644.37	1955.10	2301.32	2476.30	2539.20	2198.55	
B 1509.87	1302.97	1690.52	1766.20	1695.20	1697.05	1610.30	
Mean 1892.	43 1473.67	1822.81	2033.76	2085.75	2118.12		

#### D. Effect of Presowing treatments on vigour inderx II of various lots of cotton.

Treatment	Effect	on lots	Effect on varieties					
	A	В	RG-8	HS-6	H-1098	HD-123		
GA <sub>3</sub>	-286.2	-95.8	-434	-318	-81.7	69.5		
Ascorbic acid	-337.8	-149.6	-634.5	128.7	24.8	-494		
NaCl	127.5	194.7	423.5	144.5	-407.7	484		
KNO <sub>3</sub>	231.7	470.9	523	214	226.3	442		
H <sub>2</sub> O+Thiram	-95.2	282.9	-116.5	361	-73.2	204		

See Abbreviations from Table 2

Lot В seeds depicted higher improvement in vigour indices over lot A seeds. The treatments KNO<sub>3</sub>, NaCl,  $H_2O + Thiram$  has an enhancing effect on the indices, whereas GA<sub>3</sub> and ascorbic acid showed adverse effect. The probable reason for increasing vigour of the seedling may be attributed to the growth regulating which might chemicals have stimulated and promoted the germination vigour and of the seedlings. Similar findings of presoaking treatments were also reported in KNO<sub>3</sub>, ethrel treated Anjan seeds wheat restored the germinability and vigour<sup>15</sup>, and so was in tomato and pepper seed<sup>16</sup>.

Similar trend of increase in growth and vigour of plant was also reported by NaCl and hydration-dehydration in wheat<sup>6</sup> and Jute.

Table 2:PlumuleLength (cm)

The mean values of PL ranged from 10.80 to 19.80 (Table 1). Lot B showed improvement in plumule length while Lot A showed decrease (except NaCl treatment) (Table 2). RG-8 was most responsive to all the treatments. Highest improvement was observed in GA<sub>3</sub> treated RG-8 seeds KNO<sub>3</sub> showed the best (Table 2). results followed by NaCl. While H<sub>2</sub>O + Thiram and  $GA_3$  were at par. Ascorbic acid showed adverse effects (Table 2).

Kumar <i>et al</i>		Int. J. Pure Ap	op. Biosci. 7 (2): 396-402	2 (2019) ISSN: 2320 – 705	51								
	A. Tv	vo way mean t	table between variety vs	s. lot of cotton									
	Lot												
Variety	А		В	Mean									
RG-8	12.8	36	12.76	12.81									
HS-6	16.7	73	14.51	15.62									
H-1098	17.3	31	15.55	16.43									
HD-123	12.6	55	13.21	12.93									
Mean	14.8	39	14.01										
CD (P=0.01)	Variety=0.146	Lot=0.103	Variety X Lot=0.207										

B.	Two	wav	mean	table	between	variety	VS.	treatment	of	cotton
ь.	1	may	mean	unic	Detween	variety	<b>V 13 •</b>	ti catiliciti	<b>UI</b>	conton

			Treatment				Mean
Variety	Control	GA <sub>3</sub>	A.A	NaCl	KNO <sub>3</sub>	$H_2O + Th$	
RG-8	11.90	14.20	12.10	12.80	13.75	12.15	12.81
HS-6	15.50	15.40	12.35	17.40	16.10	17.00	15.62
H-1098	15.80	16.80	17.50	14.95	17.85	15.70	16.43
HD-123	12.90	12.75	13.90	13.75	12.00	12.30	12.93
Mean	14.02	14.78	13.96	14.72	14.92	14.28	
CD (P=0.01)	Variety=0.146	Treatmen	t=0.179 Vari	ety X Treatn	nent=0.358		

Improvement in plumule lengths was observed better in Lot B. Radicle length increased by NaCl, H<sub>2</sub>O Thiram and KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment significantly better than GA<sub>3</sub> and ascorbic acid, while KNO<sub>3</sub> showed better shoot length followed by NaCl and  $H_2O + Thiram$ . Among varieties, HS-6 was better performer for radicle length, whereas RG-8 was better performer for plumule length than the others. The increase in seedling length by various presowing treatments can be due to the effect beneficial in uniform germination, due to intensified hydrolytic process, better uptake of and moisture. nutrient imparting stimulation for better establishment of seedling. Similar beneficial physiological and biochemical effects of presowing seed treatment were observed in cotton<sup>17</sup>, corn, barley<sup>9</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded from the present study that quality of seed in terms of (germinability and field performance) can be improved by applying presowing seed soaking treatment in

Copyright © March-April, 2019; IJPAB

both the seed lots and more so in the marginal quality seeds indicating that these treatments are more effective in low quality seeds.

RG-8 was better lab performer, whereas H-1098 was better field performer. KNO<sub>3</sub> was found most effective presowing treatment followed by NaCl and  $H_2O$  + Thiram. The viability and vigour of the seeds be enhanced by presowing can treatments of KNO<sub>3</sub> and followed by NaCl and  $H_2O$  + Thiram @ 0.25%.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous, www.statista.com/stati stics/263055/cotton-productionworldwide-by-top-countries/ (2018a).
- Anonymous, All India Coordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Cotton http://aiccip.cicr.org.in/CD\_17-18/3\_A1\_A17\_PC\_report.pdf (2018b).
- 3. Anonymous, http://agriharyana.gov.in/as sets/images/whatsnew/Five\_Year\_AYP\_T argeted\_2016-17\_\_N\_\_Ek\_Patti.pdf (2019).
- Abdul-Baki, A.A. and Anderson, J.D., *Physiological* and biochemical deterioration of seeds. In: T.T. Kozlowski

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 7 (2): 396-402 (2019)

### Kumar *et al*

(ed.) Seed Biology. 283-316. Academic Press, New York. (1972).

- Agarwal, P.K. and Dadlani, M., *Techniques in seed science and technology*. Second ed. South Asian publishers limited, India. (1995).
- Basu, R.N., Physico-chemical control of seed deterioration. Seed Research. 4: 15-23 (1976).
- Basu, R.N., Seed invigoration studies in the University of Calcutta-glimpses of the past and present. *Seed Tech. News.* 25(1): 16-22 (1995).
- Basu, R.N. and Dhar, N., Seed treatment for maintaining vigour, viability and productivity of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). *Seed Sci. and Technol.* 7(2): 225-233 (1979).
- Basu, R.N., Punjabi, Band Mandal, A.K., 9 982). Maintenance of vigour, viability and productivity of stored barley seed. *Seed Res.* 10: 69-71
- Bhargave, R. and Banerjee, V.N., Effects of N and K on root charactersticks of potato. *J. Pl. Physio.* 37: 130-132 (1994).

- Kurdikeri, M.B., Aswathaiah, B. and Rajendra Prasad, S., Seed invigoration studies in maize hybrids. *Seed Res.* 21: 8-12 (1993).
- McDonald, M.B., Seed deterioration: physiology, repair and assessment. *Seed Sci. Technol.* 327: 177-237 (1999).
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V., Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR. Pub. New Delhi. (1967).
- Steiner, A.M., Aschermann-Koch, C. and Hofmann, P., Presowing treatments for improving seed quality in cereals. I. Germinatin and vigour. Seed Sci. Technol. 20: 435-440 (1992).
- Vadivelu, K.K. and Masilamani, P., Effect of growth regulator and nutrient on viability and vigour on preconditioned seeds on Anjan. *Indian Journal of Forestry.* 20: 223-226 (1997).
- Woodstock, L., Biochemical for seed vigour. *Proc. Int. Seed Test. Ass.* 34: 253-263 (1969).
- 17. Xusheng, C., Junai, J., The effect of ethrel in inducing the germination of cotton seed. *China Cottons.* **25:** 12-13 (1998).